

ENGLISH BANKING METHODS.

Although there is no Government supervision of incorporated banks in Great Britain, as there is in this country, and no official statements of their condition are issued, still, from the reports of their directors the London Economist compiles and publishes, at intervals, statistics of their business, which are interesting as furnishing means of comparing the English methods of banking with ours. The latest of these compilations appeared on May 21 last, and presents the figures for the half year ending Dec. 31, 1897.

Omitting the Scottish and Irish banks, and confining our attention to those of England and Wales alone, we find that the number of incorporated banks in this latter district containing a population of 31,000,000, is but 91, all told, including the Bank of England, and that their aggregate capital and surplus is £24,000,000, or \$47,000,000, making an average for each of £510,000,000. This is in striking contrast with the distribution, in this country, of banking capital. The latest report of our Comptroller of the Currency gives the number of our incorporated banks, national and State, of which he had information, at about 8,700, and their total capital and surplus at £1,724,000,000, or an average for each one of about \$200,000. The proportion to population, assuming ours to be 75,000,000, is, therefore, in England and Wales one bank to each 344,000 inhabitants, whereas with us it is one to each 8,600. This disparity does not, however, imply an equal disparity in the banking facilities and accommodations of two countries. Our banks have no branches, whereas the ninety-one banks in England and Wales have, in the aggregate, 3,400 branches and agencies, each of which performs the functions performed by our independent banks, and, for purposes of comparison, should be reckoned as a separate institution. This reduces the average of the bank capital and surpluses of England and Wales to about \$164,000,000, and the proportion of the number of banks to the population to one for each 8,600, or about the same as in this country. While, however, our 8,700 banks have \$5,000,000,000 of deposits, and \$4,300,000,000 of loans and discounts, the 3,400 English and Welsh banks and branches have deposits of \$2,900,000,000 and lend out \$2,700,000,000. That is to say, in England and Wales less than one-half as many banks have three-fifths as much deposits, and lend more than one-half as much money as all ours do.

No return is made by any of the English and Welsh banks, except the Bank of England, of the reserve held by it in gold. In place of this information their reports give merely "Cash in hand and money at call and short notice," which seems to be regarded, by common consent, as equivalent, for practical purposes, to our "lawful money" reserve. The total of this item for the ninety banks in question, excluding the Bank of England, amounted, on Dec. 31, 1897, to £120,000,000, or \$30,000,000, and was held against £527,000,000 or \$2,035,000,000 of deposits. This is a reserve of over 25 per cent. of the deposits, and, if it were all gold, it would be equal to the reserve required by law for our national banks in large cities. The best information, however, which can be attained makes the actual gold to be not over 10 per cent. of the deposits, and nearly the whole of that is held by the Bank of England on deposit for the other banks.

An important difference between the English and Welsh banks and ours is the almost complete monopoly by the single Bank of England of the issue of circulating notes. Of the ninety banks, other than the Bank of England, of which the Economist gives statistics, only thirty-two issue circulation at all, and the total of their issues is less than £100,000, or \$5,000,000. One bank reports only 2,919 of notes out, and the largest amount reported by any one is £122,000. This fact should be considered by the writers on the subject in this country, who assert that the currency of England is issued by banks in general, and that, as one of them recently put it, England has a "great number of small local banks that have always had out great quantities of their circulating notes, which, being unknown away from their place of issue, have been at a discount away from home." Even including the circulation of the Scottish and Irish banks with those of England and Wales, the total bank circulation of the small banks of the United Kingdom is less than £15,000,000, or \$75,000,000, and the whole of it is issued by fifty-four banks. It is therefore not great in quantity, nor are the banks issuing it great in number, and as to its being "at a discount away from home," the assertion is absurdly untrue. Moreover, the Bank of England does not issue notes as a bank, but as an agency of the British Government. Its issue department is as distinct from its banking department as the Treasury of the United States is from our banks, and it is entirely independent of the fluctuations of the money market. For £10,000,000, or \$84,000,000, of its notes the Government is responsible, and for all above that amount the bank is obliged by its charter to hold for each pound sterling a pound in specie, of which four-fifths must be gold coin or gold bullion and one-fifth may be silver, though, as a matter of practice, it holds the whole amount in gold.

Another distinctive feature of English banking is the practice of paying interest on deposits to private depositors. As a rule, the banks of this country, and particularly, those of New York, treat the payment of interest on deposits, except on those made by the country banks which keep their reserves with them, as unsound banking. Precisely why they do so has never been satisfactorily explained. As a matter of safety, the country banks, which bank with the city banks, are the first to withdraw their funds when financial trouble seems to be impending, and their action in this respect has frequently precipitated a panic which might otherwise have been avoided. As long as money is hard to lend they leave it here, drawing 2 per cent., and over, but as soon as a demand for it springs up, which would permit the depositary banks to lend it at a profit, they take it away and lend it themselves. Private depositors, on the contrary, are content with a small interest, and are slow to withdraw their deposits, but as it is, if they desire interest while they are waiting for opportunity to invest, they are driven to the trust companies, the officers of which are more sagacious than those of the banks and are gradually absorbing many valuable accounts which the banks are losing by their obstinate adherence to methods good enough fifty years ago, but which are now antiquated. That the English banks find the practice of paying interest to depositors profitable is shown by their dividends, which are in few cases less than 10 per cent. per annum, and are frequently 20 and 25 per cent. It is true that the practice is not universal, the Bank of England being a notable exception, but it is sufficiently general to be treated as the rule.

The system of few banks with numerous branches which prevails in England, also, by diminishing the expenses of the banks, contributes to increase their profits. Obviously 91 banks can be more economically administered than 3,550 can be, even if they have 3,465 branches to maintain, and as to the superior credit of 91 banks, each with a capital on an average of \$5,100,000, as compared with that of 3,550 banks the average capital of which is only \$134,000, argument is not needed to demonstrate it. If, in this country, instead of 8,700 separate banks, with an average capital of \$200,000 each, we have 200 banks with an average capital of \$90,000,000 each, we should have, in the aggregate, as much banking capital as we have now, and those 200 banks, by establishing 8,700 branches, could do as much business as they do now, and could afford to do it more cheaply to the borrower. Moreover, each of these 200 banks, by controlling forty or more branches, would be better able to accommodate the public, because it could, on occasion, draw money from points where it was redundant and transfer it to points where it was deficient. Canada, with its 50,000,000 of population, has but 40 banks, whereas under our system it would have 200, but these 40 banks, by the aid of their branches, would accommodate the people quite as well as they were 900 in number, and even better.

In another respect our banking methods are behind those of England, and that is, the

lack, with us, of a great national bank to act as the fiscal agent of the Government, receiving and disbursing its revenues, paying the interest on its debt, and, when a new loan is made, as we are making one at present, to collect the money for it without withdrawing it from circulation. It is true, that by a clumsy and roundabout process, the worst evils of our Independent Treasury system are in some measure obviated by using national banks as depositories of national funds, but the result is by no means satisfactory as it could be made. For example, the Treasury is liable to have to take in during the coming month \$200,000,000, of which it cannot possibly expend \$50,000,000. The only way of avoiding the absorption of the remaining \$150,000,000 will be for such national banks as choose to take the trouble to deposit among them \$150,000,000 in bonds with the Treasury, and accept \$150,000,000 in cash on deposit, subject to be withdrawn only as it was needed for Government purposes. Large as the reserves of the banks happen now to be, and free as the future is from alarming symptoms, considerable anxiety prevails lest the Government financing of the war may bring on a money stringency. Such a calamity has been, by skilful management, thus far avoided, as it was avoided last winter when the Union Pacific Railroad debt was in process of payment, but too much is left to skill and not enough to automatic prevention.

MATTHEW MARSHALL.

FINANCIAL AND COMMERCIAL.

New York Stock Exchange—Sales and Range of Prices on All Securities Dealt in During the Week Ending July 1, 1898.

UNITED STATES AND STATE BONDS (in \$1,000s). Open High Low Close Sales.

Name. Open High Low Close Sales.

1800 U.S. 4s, r. 1897.11.04 1104 1104 1104 1104

4000 U.S. 4s, r. 1897.11.14 1114 1114 1114 1114

1000 U.S. 4s, r. 1895.12.15 125 125 125 125

RAILROAD AND OTHER BONDS (in \$1,000s).

Open High Low Close Sales.

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65 64 64

5000 S. & L. 1st 4s 65 65